

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/051,556	01/17/2002	Henry Wang	10065-001	8684	
29391	7590 03/25/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A.			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE					
SUITE 2500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		3724	3724	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/051,556 WANG, HENRY Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Omar Flores-Sánchez 3724 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Omar Flores-Sánchez. (2) David Maire. Date of Interview: 17 March 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,18 and 22. Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h R N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the difference between Plumb and the invention regarding the position and function of the handle, additional limitations to three independent claims 1, 2,18 and 22 were discussed (see the attachment) and appear to read over the grounds of rejection in the last office action. Also, Applicant discussed the difference between Campbell and the invention regarding a first side of the first leg having a flat side adapted for abutting and being slid along a flat guide surface of a fence and appears to read over the grounds of rejection in the last office action. However, these limitations will have to be further considered.